## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

200530085

Omaha District, South Dakota Regulatory Office

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** 

FILE NUMBER:

REGULATORY PROJECT M	/ANACE	D.	<u> </u>	ndy Mitzel			Date: 3/2	1/2005	
REGULATORITROJECT	AANAGE	ıK.	A	nuy wntzer			Date. <u>5/2</u>	<u> </u>	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATI	ON COM	PLETEI		the office <u>Y</u> the project s		Date: <u>3/24</u> Date:		
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATI	ON:			one project.	<u> </u>		_	
State:						South D	akota_		
County:	e 1	-4.410	1		4	Spink	0.000 T	00.53731	
Center coordinates o Approximate size of						<u>Lat 44.</u> 160 acre	<u>86930</u>	2 98.52031	
Name of waterway or					.01 05) 0	Turtle			
SITE CONDITIONS:									
SITE CONDITIONS.									
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole	X/7			X					
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, non	-navigable, ii	ntra-state wat	er present ar	d best estima	ate for size of	non-
-									

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown					
			Use Best Professional Judgment					
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make			
			to Occur	Occur	Determination			
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by			X/8					
Migratory Bird Treaties?								
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that			X/8					
cross state lines?								
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X/8			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X/8				
¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,								
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.								

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary  $\square$  Or Approved  $\boxtimes$ .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):The 160 acre site has historically been used for crop production, and contains 3 isolated wetland on the north & northeast corner of the property. There are 8 isolated PEM (0.35, 0.39, 0.23, 0.17, 0.1, 0.12, 0.21, and 5.84 acres in size) wetlands on the site. Aerial photograph (2003), NWI data, , Spink County SSURGO data/Hydric Soil list, were reviewed, and no surface hydrological connection was found, nor was the wetland adjacent to other water of the US. No known interstate commerce connection is known to exist, and there is no reasonable potential for navigation on this wetland. No jurisdictional waters of the US exist on the property.